

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,684	11/04/2003		James W. Reichard II		2019
7	590	05/20/2005		EXAM	INER
James W. Reichard II				JOHNSON, VICKY A	
5231 Apple Wa	ay				
St. Leonard, MD 20685				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3682	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andieus Commence		10/699,684	REICHARD, JAMES W.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Vicky A. Johnson	3682				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			,				
1) 🗌 📗) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	• ——	action is non-final.					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
• ===	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquiroment					
ا اــا(ه	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,				
10) <u> </u>	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[1	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau	•	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milton (US 5,417,617) in view of Redmond (US 6,648,784).

Milton discloses a pulley for a pulley and belt transmission system, the belt (20) having grooves defined by a series of angled faces (see Fig 2), the faces having a groove pitch angle (inherent) comprising: a pulley face (see Fig 2); a plurality of teeth (26), having a plurality of pulley tips (see Fig 2), circumferentially around the pulley face (see Fig 2), defined by a series of angled walls (see Fig 3); and at least one surface gap (28) across the plurality of teeth (see Fig 2).

Milton does not disclose the walls having a pulley pitch angle less than the groove pitch angle of the belt.

Redmond teaches the use of a belt drive assembly having a pulley pitch angle less than the groove pitch angle of the corresponding belt (col. 2 lines 21-23).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transmission system of Milton by making the pulley pitch angle less than the groove pitch angle of the belt in order to reduce noise (col. 1 lines 45-60).

Art Unit: 3682

Re claims 2, 3, and 4, Milton discloses the claimed invention as described above, but does not disclose that the pulley pitch angle comprises from about one degree to about 10 degrees less than the groove pitch angle, or the pulley pitch angle comprises from about two degrees to about 8 degrees less than the groove pitch angle, or the pulley pitch angle comprises about five degrees less than the groove pitch angle.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the optimum range of the pulley pitch angle relative to the belt pitch angle, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Re claim 5, Milton shows the pulley tip comprise a flattened shape (see Fig 3).

Re claim 6, Milton shows the at least one surface gap (28) comprises a plurality of grooves, at an angle to the plurality of teeth, through the pulley face (see Figs 2 and 3).

Re claim 7, Milton discloses the claimed invention as described above, but does not disclose from about two to about twenty grooves through the pulley face.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the optimum range of the number of surface gap grooves, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3682

Re claim 8, Milton shows two pulley sides (22, 24), the pulley face there between (see Fig 2) having at least one hole (44, 46) drilled through one of the pulley sides, the at least one hole positioned beneath the pulley face (see Fig 2).

Re claim 9, Milton shows a number of hole pairs (44, 46) drilled through the pulley sides about equal to the number of grooves through the pulley face (see Fig 3).

Re claim 10, Milton shows the hole pairs (44,46) comprise a position proximate to the grooves through the pulley face (see Fig 3).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4,525,158	Tanaka et al	(pulley)
4,047,444	Jeffery	(belt/pulley pitch)
4,981 <u>,</u> 462	White, Jr. et al	(pulley)
6,488,604	Birn	(surface gaps)
6,099,427	Brown	(surface gaps)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

Art Unit: 3682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on (571) 272-7099. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vicky A. Johnson

Examiner
Art Unit 3682